

Matthew McCann

From: democracy
Sent: 05 July 2019 16:26
To: Matthew McCann
Subject: FW: Lambs Close Cuffley - Parking Change Proposals

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 July 2019 16:12
To: democracy <democracy@welhat.gov.uk>
Subject: Lambs Close Cuffley - Parking Change Proposals

WARNING: This email originated outside the WHBC Network. Please be extra vigilant when opening attachments or clicking links.

As discussed on the telephone today, please pass to the relevant body

Many thanks

[REDACTED]

**NOTICE OF INTENT – STATUTORY CONSULTATION
THE BOROUGH OF WELWYN HATFIELD (LAMBS CLOSE, CUFFLEY)
(RESTRICTION OF WAITING AND PERMIT PARKING ZONE) ORDER 2019**

Sir/madam,

With respect to the above, I wish to lodge the following observations and objections:-

1.
Numbers 49 and 50 have been included in the addresses of those eligible to purchase permits. Those two dwellings have ample parking available (more than 10 spaces off-road) and should be excluded from the permit scheme and treated as are The Brooks.
2.
I see you are proposing to allow 240 Visitor Vouchers per year to all eligible addresses at the Daily Rate of 50p.
If you consider the Daily Rate for parking at the station of £5.60, it is not hard to see how easy it is to pass on these vouchers and make a tidy profit.
I would suggest that the Maximum number of 240 should be reduced.
20 is more than adequate for most people - and you have the discretionary powers to issue Special Permits if more are necessary.
3.
I am pleased to see that you are proposing to rationalise the somewhat idiosyncratic nature of the short parking spaces, shorter single yellow lines and the proliferation of signage posts along the west side of

Lambs Close.

It has long been a source of worderment as to who/how/why/cost??

You have not been specific in the nature of the proposed changes to these spaces and I should like to point out the following in this respect.

The boundaries of the current parking spaces at the south end of Lambs Close allow for the passage of emergency vehicles.

I would suggest that no more space is needed at the north end.

At present, there is more than enough room for another parking spot.

I am sure this is what you intend, but without detailed proposals, I felt it should be covered.

I trust the above will be included in your deliberations.

My Regards

██████████ Lambs Close, Cuffley.

Appendix A